



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR AKIHIKO SUGIURA	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/345,755		07/01/1999		PM-262230-70	
909	7590	06/10/2003			
		HROP, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX I MCLEAN,		2		KUMAR, F	ANKAJ
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2631	9
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

.1								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/345,755	SUGIURA, AKIHIKO					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Pankaj Kumar	2631					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleter period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a rep ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH a, cause the application to become ABAT	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	July 1999 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🛛 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.					
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·					
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in App	olication No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu see the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest							
a	The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has bee	en received.					
Attachment		, , ,	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Infe	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Te PTO-326 (Re	A A = 4.	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 9					

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long. It should be greater than 50 words and less than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Table 2 does not appear to be a table. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 3 and 4 are grammatically incorrect with "breaking-wave transmitting means incorporates electric-power amplifying means which is capable of arbitrarily control an amplification gain".
- 6. Claims 5-11 are grammatically incorrect with "a communication breaking device according to any one of claims"

Claim Objections

Claims 5-11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim "any one of claims". See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 5-11 have not been further treated on the merits.

Art Unit: 2631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rypkema USPN 4,395,734.
- 9. As per claim 1, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device for breaking communication of a communication apparatus which modulates or demodulates information about contents of the communication with a predetermined code sequence, said communication breaking device comprising: code sequence extracting means which is capable of extracting the predetermined code sequence from a received incoming wave (Rypkema fig. 1: 12 to 30; What Rypkema might not teach is a code sequence. Instead, what Rypkema uses is RF which possibly could be a code sequence. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Rypkema to make the RF a code sequence. One would be motivated to do so in order to have sensible RF signal); code sequence inverting means which is capable of inverting the code sequence extracted by said code sequence extracting means into an inverted code sequence (Rypkema fig. 1: 40: muting oscillator); phase control means which is capable of advancing the phase of the extracted code sequence or that of the inverted code sequence (Rypkema fig. 2: 60 with other components; fig. 4: 60, 92 with other components); and breaking-

Art Unit: 2631

wave transmitting means for transmitting the inverted code sequence having the advanced phase as a communication breaking wave (Rypkema fig. 1: 38).

- 10. As per claim 2, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to claim 1, wherein the advancement is performed by said phase control means in a quantity corresponding to at least one code of the extracted code sequence or the inverted code sequence (Rypkema fig. 2: phase advanced in quantity corresponding to the size of the RF or portion thereof).
- 11. As per claim 3, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to claim 1, wherein said breaking-wave transmitting means incorporates electric-power amplifying means (Rypkema fig. 4: 28) which is capable of arbitrarily control an amplification gain (Rypkema fig. 4: since 28 is an amplifier, it has some gain which could have been arbitrarily set and hence controlled).
- 12. As per claim 4, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to claim 2, wherein said breaking-wave transmitting means incorporates electric-power amplifying means (Rypkema fig. 4: 28) which is capable of arbitrarily control an amplification gain (Rypkema fig. 4: since 28 is an amplifier, it has some gain which could have been arbitrarily set and hence controlled).
- 13. As per claim 5, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to any one of claims 1, wherein said breaking-wave transmitting means intermittently transmits the communication breaking wave (Rypkema fig. 2: with switch 42, we can intermittently switch muting on or off and hence intermittently transmit breaking wave).
- 14. As per claim 6, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to any one of claims 2, wherein said breaking-wave transmitting means intermittently transmits the

Art Unit: 2631

communication breaking wave (Rypkema fig. 2: with switch 42, we can intermittently switch muting on or off and hence intermittently transmit breaking wave).

- 15. As per claim 7, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to any one of claims 3, wherein said breaking-wave transmitting means intermittently transmits the communication breaking wave (Rypkema fig. 2: with switch 42, we can intermittently switch muting on or off and hence intermittently transmit breaking wave).
- 16. As per claim 8, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to any one of claims 1, wherein the incoming waves are transmitted from a plurality of the communication apparatuses (Rypkema deals with television and since there are multiple television stations, there would be multiple television stations or communication apparatuses transmitting).
- 17. As per claim 9, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to any one of claims 2, wherein the incoming waves are transmitted from a plurality of the communication apparatuses (Rypkema deals with television and since there are multiple television stations, there would be multiple television stations or communication apparatuses transmitting).
- 18. As per claim 10, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to any one of claims 3, wherein the incoming waves are transmitted from a plurality of the communication apparatuses (Rypkema deals with television and since there are multiple television stations, there would be multiple television stations or communication apparatuses transmitting).
- 19. As per claim 11, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking device according to any one of claims 4, wherein the incoming waves are transmitted from a plurality of the communication apparatuses (Rypkema deals with television and since there are multiple

Art Unit: 2631

television stations, there would be multiple television stations or communication apparatuses transmitting).

20. As per claim 12, Rypkema teaches a communication breaking method adapted to a communication method which modulates or demodulates information about contents of communication with a predetermined code sequence, said communication breaking method comprising the step of: compensating the code sequence in an incoming wave by transmitting a communication breaking wave (103 discussed above with Rypkema).

Art Unit: 2631

Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure: Attinello USPN 4,317,214; Carlson et al. USPN 4,876,545; Whitney USPN

5,742,417

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (703) 305-0194. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday after 8AM to after 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

PK

June 5, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 6/9/63